

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/517,267	Applicant(s) BIGG ET AL.	
	Examiner Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1626	

All Participants:
Status of Application: 71

(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(3) _____

(2) Charles A. Muserlian (Reg. No. 19,683).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 November 2006

Time: 2:08pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 8 and 11


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner called Applicant's representative for permission to: (1) correct Markush language in claim 1; (2) change "carrier" to acceptable excipient" in claim 8; and (3) change "The" in claim 11 to "A".

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)